# Preparation of FRET reporters to support chemical probe development<sup>†</sup>‡

Timothy L. Foley,<sup>*a,b*</sup> Adam Yasgar,<sup>*c*</sup> Christopher J. Garcia,<sup>*a*</sup> Ajit Jadhav,<sup>*c*</sup> Anton Simeonov<sup>*c*</sup> and Michael D. Burkart<sup>\**a*</sup>

Received 25th June 2010, Accepted 21st July 2010 DOI: 10.1039/c0ob00322k

In high throughput screening (HTS) campaigns, the quality and cost of commercial reagents suitable for pilot studies often create obstacles upon scale-up to a full screen. We faced such challenges in our efforts to implement HTS for inhibitors of the phosphopantetheinyl transferase Sfp using an assay that had been validated using commercially available reagents. Here we demonstrate a facile route to the synthetic preparation of reactive tetraethylrhodamine and quencher probes, and their application to economically produce fluorescent and quencher-modified substrates. These probes were prepared on a scale that would allow a full, quantitative HTS of more than 350,000 compounds.

# Introduction

Phosphopantetheinylation is an important posttranslational modification representing an obligatory step that activates enzymes producing fatty acid, polyketide and nonribosomal peptide compounds.<sup>1</sup> Compounds from these classes are essential to bacterial cell viability, and many have been identified as small molecule virulence factors.<sup>2</sup> The central role of this posttranslational modification to cellular maintenance and pathogenicity has not gone unnoticed as chemical actuation of this process has potential to produce antimicrobial therapeutics with a novel mode of action.<sup>3</sup>

The phosphopantetheine functionality is installed onto synthase enzymes by action of phosphopantetheinyl transferase, in conjunction with a coenzyme A (CoA) cosubstrate.<sup>1</sup> To further our development of a method to selectively isolate and identify synthase enzymes, we have sought to identify inhibitors of Sfp, a canonical representative of this enzyme class.<sup>4</sup> To this end, we have designed a novel FRET assay platform and miniaturized it into a high-throughput screen (HTS) protocol.<sup>5</sup> In this system, action of the enzyme assembles fluorescent tetramethylrhodamine-CoA 1 and quencher-modified acceptor peptide 2 into a non-emitting "dark" product 3 (Fig. 1).

This miniaturization study identified the first known inhibitors of this enzyme, and we now seek to further unveil new active scaffolds through the screening of large chemical libraries. Previously, pilot quantities of reagents were prepared from commercially available TAMRA maleimide **4** and Black Hole Quencher-2 (BHQ-2) carboxylic acid **5** (Fig. 2). However, in turning to interrogate large



Fig. 1 Phosphopantetheinyl transferase assay format. Action of PPTase with rhodamine-CoA 1 on quencher-modified YbbR acceptor peptide 2, assembles a FRET pair 3 and decreases the rhodamine-CoA fluorescence signal.



Fig. 2 Commercially available tetramethylrhodamine maleimide 4 and Black Hole Quencher-2 carboxylic acid 5 were used to prepare pilot reagents 1 and 2.

compound collections with this screen, we found the high cost of **4** and **5** prohibitive toward our ability to supply reagents. In this report, we detail our economical preparation of structurally and spectroscopically similar rhodamine and quencher probes to support large-scale HTS campaigns.

# **Results and discussion**

We estimated the minimum reagent need for the quantitative HTS<sup>6</sup> of the NIH Molecular Libraries Small Molecule Repository (311,260 compounds)<sup>7</sup> to be 120 mg of rhodamine CoA and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California-San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0358. E-mail: mburkart@ucsd.edu; Tel: +1 858-534-5673

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Present address: NIH Chemical Genomics Center, 9800 Medical Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 200892-3370, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>NIH Chemical Genomics Center, 9800 Medical Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 200892-3370, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> This paper is part of an *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry* web theme issue on chemical biology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Full <sup>1</sup>Hand <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra for compound characterization. See DOI: 10.1039/c0ob00322k



Scheme 1 Rhodamine WT (i) C<sub>18</sub>-silica, MeOH/0.003% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 20%. (ii) (BOC)<sub>2</sub>O, DCM, 87%. (iii) 6, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, THF, 58%. (iv) TFA, DCM quant. (v) EtiPr<sub>2</sub>N, HBTU, DMF, 76%. (vi) Coenzyme A, NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, quant.



Scheme 2 (i) 3 M HCl, NaNO<sub>2</sub>; HBF<sub>4</sub>. (ii) 2,5-dimethoxyaniline, DMF, 69%. (iii) HSO<sub>3</sub>NO, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>; HBF<sub>4</sub>. (iv) *N*-methyl-*N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)-aniline, DMF, 47% (2 steps). (v) *p*-NO<sub>2</sub>PhCOCl, DIPEA, quant. (vi) H<sub>2</sub>N-Ahx-DSKLEFIASKLA-*O*-[PEG]-PS resin, DIPEA. (vii) 96: 2: 2 TFA: TIPS: H<sub>2</sub>O.

400 mg of quencher-peptide. While the former was prepared from 4, no suitably inexpensive tetramethyl- precursors could be identified. We chose to evaluate a tetraethyl- analogue, Rhodamine WT 6 (Scheme 1), that is marketed for water-tracing applications and recently adapted for use by McCafferty & coworkers.8 We acquired a sample of this material (60 g) as a mixture of isomers from Pylam Dyes; available for ~\$170/lb (Pylam Dyes, Tempe, AZ, USA). This mixture may be resolved by reversed phase flash chromatography9 using methanol: 0.003% phosphoric acid as a mobile phase<sup>10</sup> in modest yield (Scheme 1). We chose to carry forward isomer-II 7, as it more closely resembles TAMRA maleimide 4 with respect to substitution about the benzoyl ring, given that FRET characteristics depend heavily on alignment of molecular dipoles.11 The maleimide linker was assembled by mono-BOC protection of ethylene diamine  $8^{12}$  followed by conversion to maleimide 10 with N-(methoxycarbonyl)-maleimide 9 (Scheme 1).<sup>13</sup> After purification, the BOC-group was removed with  $TFA/CH_2Cl_2$  to provide TFA ammonium salt 11.

From here, we evaluated several activation schemes for 7. These experiments identified the HOBt ester to react readily with amine 11 in anhydrous DMF, and provided 12 in very good yield

(Scheme 1). Conversion of maleimide **12** to the Coenzyme A analogue **13** proceeded quantitatively in phosphate buffer and the latter was biochemically indistinguishable from **1** (*vide infra*).

Finding no preparative literature concerning BHQ-2 carboxylic acid **5**, we developed a facile route based on published patents (Scheme 2).<sup>14</sup> This sequence began with diazotization of aniline **14** in 3 M HCl. Dilution with hydrofluoroboric acid yielded the expected diazonium tetrafluoroborate salt. Conversion to diazoaniline **16** was accomplished by slow addition to **15** in DMF. Moving forward, the aqueous insolubility of **16** required conversion to the diazonium salt in concentrated sulfuric acid with nitrosylsulfuric acid as the oxidant. Subsequent recovery of the tetrafluoroborate salt and reaction with aniline **17** cleanly afforded alcohol **18**.

To activate alcohol **18** for peptide coupling, we had initially planned for oxidation to the corresponding carboxylic acid. However, the compound proved unreactive or prone to decomposition with common oxidants. As such, we investigated the use of an activated carbonate to install the alcohol onto the peptide. In this respect, we found that **18** could be converted to *p*-nitrophenyl carbonate **19** with ease (Scheme 2).<sup>15</sup>

We prepared the final product by assembling the aminocaproate-terminated YbbR peptide (sequence: NH<sub>2</sub>-Ahx-DSKLEFIASKLA–CO<sub>2</sub>H)<sup>16</sup> using FMOC-based solid phase peptide synthesis protocols on a 0.3 mmol scale.<sup>17</sup> Treatment of the resin with 2 molar equivalents (630 mg) of **19** overnight gave clean conversion to peptide **20**. HPLC purification of this material afforded 228 mg of product at >98% purity (Scheme 2). The process was iterated three times to satisfy the projected amount required for HTS (>500 mg).

Evaluation of the above-prepared reagents 13 and 20 demonstrated that they performed indistinguishably from their commercial counterparts, providing a stable and highly reproducible dose– response screen of the LOPAC<sup>1280</sup> (Library of Pharmacologically Active Compounds, Sigma-Aldrich), performed in triplicate using a fully-automated robotic screening system (Fig. 3).<sup>18</sup> As seen in Fig. 3A, the robotic screen was associated with a consistently high Z'-factor <sup>19</sup> and near-constant IC<sub>50</sub> values for an inhibitor dose– response series included on every screening plate. Furthermore, two previously noted screening hits,<sup>5</sup> PD 404,182 and calmidazolium chloride, displayed uniform concentration–response curves which overlapped those previously obtained in with the initial reagent set (Fig. 3B). These results confirmed that the assay retained its sensitivity to inhibitors with the new reagents.



**Fig. 3** Utilization of the new reagents in a triplicate robotic screen of the LOPAC<sup>1280</sup> library. (A) Excellent screening assay performance as evidenced by the consistently high Z' factor and the unchanging IC<sub>50</sub> for a control inhibitor SCH-202676. (B) Switching to the new reagents did not change the assay's sensitivity to inhibitors as evidenced by the nearly identical dose responses obtained for two inhibitors of different potency, PD 404,182 (average IC<sub>50</sub> 3.2 µM) and calmidazolium chloride (average IC<sub>50</sub> 20 µM) when reagents 1 and 2 (PD 404,182,  $\bigcirc$ ; calmidazolium chloride: **■**, **▲**, and **▼**) were used.

# Experimental

All reagents and chemical compounds were used as purchased from commercial sources unless noted. Pyridine was distilled from KOH. Stirring was accomplished magnetically with a teflonunder a balloon of dry argon in septum-sealed, oven-dried glassware. When required, compounds were purified via flash chromatography<sup>20</sup> on 230-400 mesh Silica Gel 60 (EMD Chemicals, Gibbstown, NJ, USA). Analytical TLC was performed using 250 µm silica layers on glass plates (Silica Gel 60 F254, EMD Chemicals, Gibbstown, NJ, USA) and separated compounds visualized by illumination with UV light. Analytical reversed phase TLC (rp-TLC) was performed using 200 µm layers of Partisil<sup>®</sup> KC<sub>18</sub> on glass plates (Cat. No. 4801-425, Whatman Inc, GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA). High pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) separations were performed with an Agilent 1100 series instrument (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a preparative scale autosampler (fitted with a 900 µL injection loop) and a diode array detector. Analytical separations were performed on a  $4.6 \times 150 \text{ mm Ultrasphere ODS}(\mathbb{R})$ column (Cat. No. 235330, Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) with injection volumes ranging from  $50-100 \,\mu$ L. Semipreparative separations were performed on a  $10 \times 250$  mm Biotage KP-C18-HS 35/70u column (Cat. No. S1L0-1119-95050, Biotage, Charlotte, NC, USA) using an injection volume of 500-900 µL. Preparative reversed phase separations were performed on a Waters instrument (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) comprised of a model 680 gradient controller, model 510 pumps fitted with high flow volume (225 µL) heads, model 2487 dual wavelength absorbance detector. Hewlett Packard 3396-series II integrator (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), Pharmacia Frac-100 fraction collector (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA) and a  $22 \times 250$  mm Econosphere C<sub>18</sub> (10 µm) column (cat. no. 50195422, W.R. Grace & Co., Deerfield, IL, USA). Prior to separation, samples were prepared using Waters SepPak C<sub>18</sub> (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) solid phase extraction columns to remove salts and nonpolar contaminants that irreversibly adsorb to reverse phase media. Characterization data and yields correspond to homogeneous materials. NMR data were collected on a 300 MHz Varian Mercury or 400 MHz Varian Mercury Plus spectrometers operating at 300.077 MHz or 399.913 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and 75.462 MHz or 100.567 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, respectively, at the UCSD Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry NMR facility. FID files were processed using MestReNova software version 6.1.0 (MestreLab Research, Escondido, CA, USA). Chemical shifts were calibrated using the residual solvent resonance:<sup>21</sup> D<sub>6</sub>-DMSO ( $\delta$  2.50, pentet, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR) and ( $\delta$  39.52, heptet, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR), D<sub>1</sub>chloroform ( $\delta$  7.26, singlet, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR) and ( $\delta$  77.16, triplet, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR), or D<sub>4</sub>-methanol ( $\delta$  3.31, pentet, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR) and ( $\delta$  49.00, heptet, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR). Resonance multiplicities are reported as s =singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, p = pentet, dd =doublet of doublets, m = multiplet. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR data are reported as follows: Chemical shift (number of protons, multiplicity, coupling constants). Mass spectrometric data for all compounds except 13 and 20 were collected by Dr Yongxuan Su at the UCSD Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry Small Molecule Mass Spectrometry facility on Finnigan LCQDECA and Thermo Finnigan MAT900XL spectrometers. Mass spectrometric data for compounds 13 and 20 were collected by Dr William Leister at the NIH Chemical Genomics Center using an Agilent 6210 time-offlight mass spectrometer fitted with a 1200 series HPLC system for sample introduction (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

coated stir bar, and all non-aqueous reactions were performed

#### Separation of Rhodamine WT isomers<sup>10</sup>

Rhodamine WT 6 (1 g) was dissolved in methanol (200 mL). Dichloromethane was added (400 mL) and the solution extracted with 1 M HCl (400 mL). The organic phase was concentrated in vacuo to give a burgundy solid. The solid was dissolved in methanol (50 mL) and diluted with 0.003% phosphoric acid (70 mL). This solution was loaded on a preparative  $C_{18}$ -silica column (7 cm  $\times$  20 cm bed dimensions) equilibrated in 35:65 methanol: 0.003% phosphoric acid. The isomers were resolved by a step gradient from 35 to 60% methanol that increased in increments of 5% methanol. Fractions containing the separated isomers were pooled, diluted with an equal volume 1 M HCl and extracted with dichloromethane  $(3 \times \text{total volume})$ . the pooled organic phases were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated to give rhodamine WT isomer I (355 mg, 35%) and rhodamine WT isomer II 7 (162 mg, 16%) in a ratio of approximately 2:1 (isomer I : isomer II).

*Isomer I*.  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) 8.44–8.33 (2 H, m), 7.98 (1 H, d, J 1.1), 7.12 (2 H, d, J 9.5), 7.03 (2 H, dd, J 9.5, 2.4), 6.98 (2 H, d, J 2.4), 3.67 (8 H, q, J 7.1), 1.30 (12 H, t, J 7.1).

 $δ_{\rm C}$  (101 MHz, DMSO) 166.59, 166.53, 157.53, 155.37, 135.11, 131.56, 131.49, 131.06, 114.82, 113.10, 96.62, 45.91, 13.12. MS (ESI) *m/z* 487.40 ([M<sup>+</sup>]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI-FT) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 487.2227, found 487.2229.

Isomer II 7.  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) 8.90 (1 H, d, J 1.4), 8.41 (1 H, dd, J 7.9, 1.5), 7.53 (1 H, d, J 7.9), 7.10 (2 H, d, J 9.5), 7.03 (2 H, dd, J 9.5, 2.2), 6.97 (2 H, d, J 2.2), 3.67 (8 H, q, J 7.0), 1.30 (12 H, t, J 7.0)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 170.72, 170.24, 162.60, 161.96, 159.85, 142.10, 137.20, 137.06, 136.98, 136.28, 136.11, 135.98, 135.04, 134.90, 118.31, 117.26, 100.13, 100.02, 49.65, 15.75, 15.60. MS (ESI) m/z 487.42 ([M<sup>+</sup>]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI-FT) m/z calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 487.2227, found 487.2233.

#### tert-Butyl 2-aminoethylcarbamate 2212

A solution of 1,2-diaminoethane **8** (7.7 mL, 114 mmol) in chloroform (450 mL) was prepared and cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath with stirring. A solution of di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate (5.26 mL, 22.9 mmol) in chloroform (46 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and added dropwise *via* a pressure equalizing addition funnel over 2 h. The ice bath was removed and the reaction stirred overnight at room temperature to give a heterogeneous solution. Solids were filtered and the filtrate evaporated. The resulting oil was dissolved in EtOAc (100 mL), washed with half-saturated brine (3 × 50 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated *in vacuo* to give the monoamine product (3.220 g, 20.1 mmol, 87%).  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3.15 (2 H, dd, *J* 11.5, 5.7), 2.77 (2 H, t, *J* 5.9), 1.42 (9 H, s, *J* 9.4).  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 177.14, 156.47, 79.28, 43.30, 41.63, 28.44. MS (ESI) *m/z* 160.95 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI-FT) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 161.1285, found 161.1286.

#### N-(Methoxycarbonyl)-maleimide 9<sup>22</sup>

To a solution of maleimide (5 g, 51.5 mmol) in ethyl acetate (250 mL) was added *N*-methyl morpholine (5.6 mL, 51.5 mmol) *via* syringe and the solution cooled on ice for 20 min. Methyl chloroformate (4.8 mL, 61.8 mmol) was added dropwise and the reaction stirred 30 min. Solids were collected on a Büchner funnel and washed with ethyl acetate (100 mL). The filtrate and washes

were combined, washed successively with water (1 × 100 mL) and brine (1 × 100 mL). The organic phase was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated to dryness. The resulting solid was recrystallized from EtOAc/iPr<sub>2</sub>O to give methyl carbamate **9** (6.211 g, 40 mmol, 78%). NB: DIPEA is not an acceptable substitute for NMM.  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.84 (2 H, s, *J* 4.6), 3.94 (2 H, s, *J* 0.4).  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 165.89, 148.32, 135.53, 54.52. MS (ESI) m/z 156.04 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI-FT) m/z calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 156.0291, found 156.0293.

# *tert*-Butyl 2-(2,5-dioxo-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrol-1-yl)ethylcarbamate 10<sup>13</sup>

A solution of *tert*-butyl 2-aminoethylcarbamate (1.13 g, 7.1 mmol) in saturated sodium bicarbonate (35 mL) was prepared, the resulting solids filtered, and cooled to 0 °C. Finely ground Nmethoxycarbonyl maleimide 9(1.1 g, 7.1 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred for 15 min at room temperature. THF (55 mL) was added and the reaction stirred 45 min. Water (50 mL) was added and the solution washed with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 75 \text{ mL})$ . The organic washes were pooled, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated to give an oil that solidified upon standing. The residue was purified by chromatography on SiO<sub>2</sub> with a step gradient of hexane: ethyl acetate to give the title compound 10 as a white solid (1.0922 g, 4.55 mmol, 58%). δ<sub>H</sub> (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.68 (2 H, s), 3.67-3.55 (2 H, m), 3.29 (2 H, dd, J 11.1, 5.8), 1.36 (9 H, s).  $\delta_{\rm C}$ (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 171.04, 134.37, 79.67, 39.53, 38.19, 28.51. MS (ESI) m/z 263.04 ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI-FT) m/z calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na 263.1002, found 263.1008.

# 2-(2,5-Dioxo-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrol-1-yl)ethanammonium trifluoroacetate 11

*tert*-Butyl 2-(2,5-dioxo-2,5-dihydro-1*H*-pyrrol-1-yl)ethylcarbamate **10** (1.000 g, 4.15 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (10 mL) and cooled on ice. Trifluoroacetic acid (2 mL) was added and the solution stirred overnight. The reaction was diluted into diethyl ether (38 mL), cooled on ice 1 h, and filtered to provide the ammonium salt **11** (1.051 g, 4.14 mmol, 99%) as a white crystalline solid.  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) 6.89 (2 H, s), 3.90–3.73 (2 H, t, *J* 5.6), 3.24–3.09 (2 H, t, *J* 5.6).  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (75 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) 171.19, 162.47, 162.01, 161.55, 161.09, 134.56, 38.65, 35.05. MS (ESI) *m/z* 141.09 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI-FT) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 141.0658, found 141.0659.

#### **Rhodamine-WT maleimide 12**

Rhodamine WT isomer II 7 (10 mg, 0.02 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (2 mL). HBTU (8.56 mg, 0.02 mmol) and DIPEA (5.31 mg, 7.15  $\mu$ L, 0.04) were added successively with stirring. After 30 min, the reaction was checked by rp-TLC (CH<sub>3</sub>OH/0.003% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; 80/20) to ensure the formation of the HOBt ester ( $R_f$  0; deep purple). *N*-(2-Aminoethyl) maleimide TFA salt **11** (10.43 mg, 0.04 mmol) was added and the reaction followed to completion by rp-TLC (product  $R_f$  0.5). The reaction was diluted with dichloromethane (50 mL) and washed with NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4, 1 × 50 mL), brine (1 × 50 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated. The resulting purple solid was further purified by reversed phase HPLC to yield maleimide **12** (9.5 mg, 76%).  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) 8.69 (1 H, d, *J* 1.6), 8.16 (1 H, dd, *J* 7.9, 1.7),

7.51 (1 H, d, J 7.9), 7.12 (3 H, d, J 9.5), 7.03 (3 H, dd, J 9.5, 2.3), 6.98 (3 H, d, J 2.3), 6.84 (2 H, s), 3.87–3.76 (2 H, m), 3.75–3.60 (15 H, m), 1.30 (27 H, t, J 7.2).  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 171.37, 159.61, 157.95, 155.64, 136.66, 135.98, 134.40, 131.80, 131.65, 130.27, 130.20, 114.16, 113.69, 96.21, 46.11, 39.02, 37.69, 12.67. MS (ESI) *m*/*z* 609.47 ([M<sup>+</sup>]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI-FT) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> 609.2708, found 609.2720.

## Rhodamine coenzyme A 13

Coenzyme A (76 mg, 0.10 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> pH 7.4 (100 mL) and cooled on ice. A solution of rhodamine maleimide **12** (76 mg, 0.13 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was added in 1 mL portions. The flask was wrapped in foil and stirred for 3 h; at which point no detectable coenzyme A was present (determined by HPLC). The reaction was transferred to a separatory funnel and washed with dichloromethane (5 × 100 mL). The resulting aqueous phase was passed over a Waters SepPak solid phase extraction column equilibrated in 0.05% TFA, washed with 5 column volumes 20% acetonitrile/0.05% TFA. Acetonitrile was removed by rotary evaporation and provided **13** as a fine purple precipitate. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z* calcd for  $C_{56}H_{74}N_{11}O_{22}P_3S$  1377.3938, found 1377.3934.

# (E)-2,5-Dimethoxy-4-((4-nitrophenyl)diazenyl)aniline 16<sup>14</sup>

Sigma-Aldrich supplied 2,5-dimethoxyaniline **15** as pellets of a black solid that required purification before proceeding. Aniline **15** (10 g) was dissolved in ethyl acetate (200 mL) and the persisting solids filtered to give a black solution. Activated carbon (2 g) was added and stirred 20 min; after which celite was added and the solution filtered. The resulting faint yellow filtrate could not be further decolorized by reiteration of the above treatment, and was concentrated *in vacuo* to give a solid. This crude material was recrystallized from boiling hexanes to give **15** as white crystalline flakes.

2,5-Dimethoxyaniline 15 (0.9506 g, 6.21 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (10 mL). A solution of p-diazoniumnitrobenzene tetrafluoroborate (prepared from 14, see ref. 14) in DMF (10 mL) was added slowly and evolved a deep red color. Saturated sodium bicarbonate was added every 5 min ( $6 \times 1$  mL additions). After 1 h, the reaction was diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O (200 mL) and placed on ice. The dark voluminous precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with  $H_2O_1$ , and desiccated over  $Ca_2CO_3$ . The resulting solid was recrystallized from hexanes: EtOAc (3:1) to yield the diazoaniline 16 (1.24 g, 4.1 mmol, 69%) as metallic green crystals. δ<sub>H</sub> (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 8.30 (2 H, d, J 9.1), 7.90 (2 H, d, J 9.0), 7.40 (2 H, s), 6.35 (2 H, s), 4.61 (2 H, s), 3.98 (3 H, s), 3.90 (3 H, s).  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 157.56, 156.74, 146.87, 145.79, 142.18, 133.64, 124.79, 122.59, 97.69, 97.00, 56.62, 55.86, 50.08. MS (ESI) m/z 303.06 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI-FT) m/z calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 303.1088, found 303.1090.

# 2-((4-((*E*)-(2,5-Dimethoxy-4-((*E*)-(4-nitrophenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)(methyl)amino)ethanol 18

Diazoaniline 16 was dissolved in sulfuric acid (concentrated, 100 mL) at room temperature to give a thick, deep purple solution that was cooled on ice (20 min). Nitrosyl sulfate was prepared by

dissolving NaNO<sub>2</sub> (100 mg) in sulfuric acid (5 mL) and warming to 50 °C. After complete dissolution, the solution was cooled in an ice bath and added dropwise to diazoaniline 16. After 1 h of stirring, ice cold 1 M hydrogen tetrafluoroborate (300 mL) was slowly added and the solution extracted with dichloromethane (5  $\times 100$  mL). The organic extract was pooled, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated to yield 0.661 g of the diazonium tetrafluoroborate salt that was dissolved in THF (200 mL) and to which a solution of Nmethyl-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-aniline 17 (0.286 g, 1.73 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 1 h and stripped of solvent. The residue was dissolved in dichloromethane (300 ml) and washed with 1 M HCl ( $1 \times 150$  mL) and brine ( $1 \times 150$  m 150 mL) and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to give 18 as a green-purple solid (0.748 g, 1.56 mmol, 47%).  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 8.38 (2 H, dd, J 9.3, 2.3), 8.05 (2 H, dd, J 9.3, 2.3), 7.95 (2 H, d, J 9.1), 7.49 (2 H, d, J 13.3), 6.85 (2 H, d, J 9.1), 4.10 (3 H, s), 4.05 (3 H, s), 3.91 (2 H, t, J 5.6), 3.66 (2 H, t, J 5.7), 3.17 (3 H, s).  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 156.57, 153.71, 152.67, 150.98, 148.50, 146.82, 144.61, 142.19, 126.34, 124.90, 123.70, 111.74, 101.19, 100.18, 59.81, 56.87, 54.70, 50.40, 39.44. MS (ESI) m/z 465.10 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI-FT) m/z calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na 487.1700, found 487.1702.

# 2-((4-((*E*)-(2,5-Dimethoxy-4-((*E*)-(4-nitrophenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)(methyl)amino)ethyl 4-nitrophenyl carbonate 19

To a solution of diazo-hydroxyethylaniline 18 (0.800 g, 1.72 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (200 mL) was added pnitrophenylchloroformate (0.382 g, 1.89 mmol), followed by pyridine (0.416 mL, 0.408 g, 5.17 mmol), and the reaction was stirred for 2 h. The reaction was washed  $(1 \times 200 \text{ mL each})$ with 1 M HCl, 50% saturated sodium carbonate, brine, and dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ . Silica gel (5 g) was added and the mixture stripped of solvent and dried under vacuum (<10 mmHg). A silica gel column (60 g) was dry-loaded with this material and eluted with hexanes/ethyl acetate (1:1) to give *p*-nitrophenyl carbonate 19 (1.0551 g, 1.70 mmol, 97%) as a purple solid.  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 8.38 (2 H, d, J 9.0), 8.25 (2 H, d, J 9.2), 8.05 (2 H, d, J 9.1), 7.96 (2 H, d, J 9.2), 7.49 (2 H, d, J 16.5), 7.24 (2 H, s, J 3.2), 6.85 (2 H, d, J 9.3), 4.53 (2 H, t, J 5.7), 4.10 (3 H, s), 4.06 (2 H, s), 3.87 (2 H, t, J 5.7), 3.18 (3 H, s).  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 177.25, 156.56, 155.47, 153.65, 152.70, 151.96, 151.17, 148.60, 146.61, 145.10, 142.47, 126.26, 125.50, 124.93, 123.75, 122.01, 111.90, 101.25, 100.29, 66.29, 56.94, 50.86, 50.65, 39.10, 29.87. MS (ESI) m/z 630.15 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI-FT) m/z calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>7</sub>O<sub>9</sub> 630.1943, found 630.1941.

#### Quencher-apo-YbbR peptide 20

YbbR peptide appended with a 6-aminohexanoyl residue appended to the N-terminus (sequence Fmoc-*N*-Ahx-DSLEFIASKLA-OH) was synthesized with a Pioneer automated peptide synthesizer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) on a 0.3 mmol scale using standard 9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl-(Fmoc) conditions, including 2-(1*H*-7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyl uronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU) activation and extended coupling times (1 h per residue) in the presence of 4-fold molar excess of Fmoc-L-amino acid relative to resin capacity. After completion, the N-terminal Fmoc protecting group was left intact and the resin collected from the column after washing with dichloromethane. The resin was stored at room temperature in the dark until further use.

For quencher labeling, 1.35 g of the peptide resin (0.19 mmol/gram substitution) was swollen in an Econo-Pac disposable column (product number 732-1010, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). A solution of piperidine was added (20% vol/vol, 10 mL) and the resin shaken gently at room temperature. After 1 h, the resin bed was allowed to settle and the piperidine solution drained. The resin was washed with copious amounts of DMF (~250 mL) and transferred to a 50 mL disposable falcon tube. To this was added a solution of *p*-nitrophenyl carbonate **18** (0.400 g, 0.63 mmol, 2 eq.) and diisopropylethylamine (51  $\mu$ L, 0.3 mmol, 1 eq.) in DMF (30 mL) and the reaction shaken gently overnight.

In the morning, the resin was collected in an Econo-Pac column and washed with DMF until the effluent was no longer purple. The resin was transferred to a 50 mL falcon tube and 35 mL of cleavage cocktail added (2% H<sub>2</sub>O, 2% triisopropylsilane, 96% trifluoroacetic acid) (N.B.: reaction turns true blue). The tube was shaken intermittently for 2 h, after which the resin was filtered and washed with cleavage cocktail (15 mL). The pooled filtrate and wash was transferred to a falcon tube, evaporated to ~5 mL under a dry stream of nitrogen, and the peptide precipitated by the addition of 40 mL of cold diethyl ether. After incubation on dry ice (1 h), the solids were collected by centrifugation (20 min × 1000 g). The pellet was titrated in cold diethyl ether (3 × 30 mL) and dried under vacuum.

The resulting black solid was dissolved in dissolution cocktail (1:1:1 acetic acid: acetonitrile:  $H_2O$ ) and purified by reverse phase HPLC to give the quencher-peptide **20** (228 mg, 42%). The identity of the resulting peptide was confirmed by mass spectrometry. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for  $C_{84}H_{11}N_{19}O_{25}$  1795.8781, found 1795.8781.

# Conclusion

The methods presented here for the general preparation of tetraethylrhodamine and quencher reporters is economical and executable at a preparative scale. Preparation of these probes enabled the initiation of an HTS campaign where the cost and availability of commercial probes had previously limited access. These procedures should be found applicable not only for the preparation of labeled peptides as above, but also as a direct route to probes for other FRET-based assay platforms (*i.e.* nucleic acids).

# Acknowledgements

This work was funded by NIH R01GM086225, R03MH83266, and R21AI090213. The authors wish to thank Drs. Yongxuan

Su (UCSD Small Molecule Mass Spectrometry Facility) and William Leister (NIH Chemical Genomics Center) for performing mass spectrometric analyses; and Elizabeth Komives (UCSD) for assistance with peptide synthesis.

# Notes and references

- R. H. Lambalot, A. M. Gehring, R. S. Flugel, P. Zuber, M. LaCelle, M. A. Marahiel, R. Reid, C. Khosla and C. T. Walsh, *Chem. Biol.*, 1996, 3, 923–936.
- 2 J. A. Ferreras, K. L. Stirrett, X. Q. Lu, J. S. Ryu, C. E. Soll, D. S. Tan and L. E. N. Quadri, *Chem. Biol.*, 2008, **15**, 51–61; R. Horbach, A. Graf, F. Weihmann, L. Antelo, S. Mathea, J. C. Liermann, T. Opatz, E. Thines, J. Aguirre and H. B. Deising, *Plant Cell*, 2009, **21**, 3379–3396.
- 3 M. Chu, R. Mierzwa, L. Xu, S. W. Yang, L. He, M. Patel, J. Stafford, D. Macinga, T. Black, T. M. Chan and V. Gullo, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2003, 13, 3827–3829; D. Joseph-McCarthy, K. Parris, A. Huang, A. Failli, D. Quagliato, E. G. Dushin, E. Novikova, E. Severina, M. Tuckman, P. J. Petersen, C. Dean, C. C. Fritz, T. Meshulam, M. DeCenzo, L. Dick, I. J. McFadyen, W. S. Somers, F. Lovering and A. M. Gilbert, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2005, 48, 7960–7969.
- 4 J. J. La Clair, T. L. Foley, T. R. Schegg, C. M. Regan and M. D. Burkart, *Chem. Biol.*, 2004, 11, 195–201; T. L. Foley and M. D. Burkart, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2009, 394, 39–47; T. L. Foley, B. S. Young and M. D. Burkart, *FEBS J.*, 2009, 276, 7134–7145; J. L. Meier, S. Niessen, H. S. Hoover, T. L. Foley, B. F. Cravatt and M. D. Burkart, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2009, 4, 948–957.
- 5 A. Yasgar, T. L. Foley, A. Jadhav, J. Inglese, M. D. Burkart and A. Simeonov, *Mol. BioSyst.*, 2010, **6**, 365–375.
- 6 J. Inglese, D. S. Auld, A. Jadhav, R. L. Johnson, A. Simeonov, A. Yasgar, W. Zheng and C. P. Austin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2006, **103**, 11473–11478.
- 7 Molecular Libraries Small Molecule Repository, http://mlsmr.glpg. com/MLSMR\_HomePage/project.html.
- 8 R. G. Kruger, P. Dostal and D. G. McCafferty, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 2092–2093.
- 9 D. S. Pedersen and C. Rosenbohm, Synthesis, 2001, 2431-2434.
- 10 D. Vasudevan, R. L. Fimmen and A. B. Francisco, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2001, **35**, 4089–4096.
- 11 J. R. Lakowicz, Principles of fluorescence spectroscopy, Kluwer Academic/Plenum, New York, 1999.
- 12 C. Dardonville, C. Fernandez-Fernandez, S. L. Gibbons, G. J. Ryan, N. Jagerovic, A. M. Gabilondo, J. J. Meana and L. F. Callado, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2006, 14, 6570–6580.
- 13 U. S. Pat., 5,595,741, 1997.
- 14 U. S. Pat., 7,109,312, 2005, U. S. Pat., 7205347 B2, 2007.
- 15 J. M. Vatele, Tetrahedron, 2004, 60, 4251-4260.
- 16 J. Yin, P. D. Straight, S. M. McLoughlin, Z. Zhou, A. J. Lin, D. E. Golan, N. L. Kelleher, R. Kolter and C. T. Walsh, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2005, **102**, 15815–15820.
- 17 K. J. Glover, P. M. Martini, R. R. Vold and E. A. Komives, *Anal. Biochem.*, 1999, **272**, 270–274.
- 18 S. Michael, D. Auld, C. Klumpp, A. Jadhav, W. Zheng, N. Thorne, C. Austin, J. Inglese and A. Simeonov, *Assay Drug Dev. Technol.*, 2008, 6, 637–657.
- 19 J. H. Zhang, T. D. Y. Chung and K. R. Oldenburg, J. Biomol. Screening, 1999, 4, 67–73.
- 20 W. C. Still, M. Kahn and A. Mitra, J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 2923-2925.
- 21 H. E. Gottlieb, V. Kotlyar and A. Nudelman, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 7512–7515.
- 22 O. Keller and J. Rudinger, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1975, 58, 531-541.